UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,802	11/04/2003	Naoto Matono	117657	4033
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 05/14/200 RIDGE. PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	50	DAVIS, DAVID DONALD		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2627		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/699,802		MATONO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David D. Davis	2627	
	David D. Davis	2627	

	David D. Davis	2627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidated al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abai vit, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request			
a) $\stackrel{\smile}{\boxtimes}$ The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Aino event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejection	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date that the been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. ension and the corresponding amoun hortened statutory period for reply ori	t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENINA AND AMENINA A	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS 2. M. The prepared expendence that if find refer to find rejection in	out prior to the data of filing a bridge					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment filed after the proposed amendment filed af	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	OTE below);				
appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		jected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1.1.4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.2.4.	* ***	ompliant Amondment (DTOL 324)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>14 and 15</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>2-7,12 and 13</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fail See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but the rejection of record is maintained.		in condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:					
	/David D. Davis/					
	Primary Examiner					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Specifically, in line 5 of claim 14, for example, "a main pole" raises new issues that would require further search and/or consideration.